PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: ROIBERT STROZIER	PCT				
P.O.BOX 429 5300 BRAESWOOD BLVD., #369 HOUSTON, TX 77402	NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION				
	(PCT Rule 44.1)				
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 25 OCT 2004				
Applicant's or agent's file reference 98006/26PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/US04/12758	International filing date (day/month/year) 26 April 2004 (26.04.2004)				
Applicant THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF TEX	AS				
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith.					
	Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):				
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is search report.	is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international				
	Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: +41 22 740 14 35				
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the	accompanying sheet.				
	rch report will be established and that the declaration under the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.				
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) add	litional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:				
the protest together with the decision thereon has b request to forward the texts of both the protest and	the decision thereon to the designated Offices.				
	applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.				
4. Reminders					
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.					
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.					
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about t Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet si					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents	Authorized office				
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Telephone No. 703/308-0858					

Form PCT/ISA/220 (January 2004)

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 98006/26PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		Form PCT/ISA/220 ere applicable, item 5 below.	
International application No. PCT/US04/12758	International filing date (da 26 April 2004 (26.04.2004)		(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year) 24 April 2003 (24.04.2003)	
Applicant THE BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UI	NIVERSITY OF TEXAS			
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This international search report consists of a total of sheets.				
It is also accompanied	by a copy of each prior are	document cited	in this report.	
Basis of the Report a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. The international search was carried out on the basis of a translation of the international application				
furnished to this Autho	rity (Rule 23.1(b)).			
	de and/or amino acid seque unsearchable (See Box No.		the international application, see Box No. I.	
3. Unity of invention is lacking	•	/		
4. With regard to the title,				
the text has been established	litted by the applicant. by this Authority to read as	follows:		
		·	•	
	•			
4				
5. With regard to the abstract,				
the text is approved as subm	• ••			
			as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant th report, submit comments to this Authority.	
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be as suggested by the	applicant.		ant a flaura	
	Authority, because the application. Authority, because this figure			
b. none of the figures is to be p		Janes Similation		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/12758

Box IV TEXT OF THE ABSTRACT (Continuation of Item 5 of the first sheet)				
The technical features mentioned in the abstract do not include a reference sign between parentheses (PCT Rule 8.1(d)).				
NEW ABSTRACT				
A method for analysis of blood components or parameters is disclosed where a probe (102) having an excitation outlet (116) and a response inlet (118) is placed in proximity to or in contact with a tissue of an underside of a patient's tongue over a big vein (108) so that an excitation signal exits the outlet, produces a response which enters the inlet for detection and analysis.				
	'			
	·			
	•			

Form PCT/ISA/210 (continuation of first sheet(3)) (January 2004)

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/US04/12758

			C170504/12750			
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER						
IPC(7)	: A61B 5/00					
US CL	: 600/322, 323	ional alassification and II	DC			
	According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC B. FIELDS SEARCHED					
	cumentation searched (classification system followed b 00/322, 323, 310, 340, 344	y classification symbols)				
Documentation	Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched					
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)						
C. DOCI	JMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			Ψ		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where ar	propriate, of the relevant	t passages	Relevant to claim No.		
X	US 4,890,619 A (HATSCHEK) 02 January 1990 (02			1-7, 17, 18, 20-22, 25-		
Y	- column 8, line 24.			30, 33, 40, 41, 43, 44		
-				10-14, 32, 34-37		
Y	US 4,167,331 A (NIELSEN) 11 September 1979 (11 10, line 49.	.09.1979), column 8, lin	e 5 - column	10, 32		
Y	US 5,494,031 A (HOEFT) 27 February 1996 (27.02	.1996), see the entired do	cument.	11-14, 34-37		
x	US 5,341,805 A (STAVRIDI et al) 30 August 1994 (30.08.1994), Figure 9; column 6, lines			1-4, 8, 21, 22, 25-27,		
х	20 - 28. US 5,384,003 A (CARO) 20 September 1994 (20.09.1994), column 4, lines 52 - 61, column 8, lines 35 - 43; claim 14.			31, 44 1-4, 9, 21, 22, 25-27, 32, 44		
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	See patent far	nily annex.			
	pecial categories of cited documents:	"T" later document p	oublished after the inte	mational filing date or priority		
	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be	principle or theo	ory underlying the inve			
"E" earlier ap	plication or patent published on or after the international filing date	considered nove		claimed invention cannot be red to involve an inventive step		
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)		"Y" document of par considered to in	document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination			
"O" document	referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	being obvious to	a person skilled in the	e art		
	document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "&" document member of the same patent family			family		
Date of the ac	ctual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the international search report				
	2004 (28.09.2004)	25/OV/	\200 4			
	ailing address of the ISA/US	Authorized officer	\vee			
	1 Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US nmissioner for Patents	Eric F Winakur	1			
P.O	. Box 1450	The home No. 702/20	8_0858			
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Telephone No. 703/308-0858						
racsimile No	. (703) 305-3230	i				

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

INTERNAT	CIONAL SEARCE	HING AUTH	ORITY			
To: ROIBERT STROZIER P.O.BOX 429			PCT			
5300 BRA	ESWOOD BLVE N, TX 77402)., #369			ITTEN OPINION OF THE ONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
				Date of mailing (day/month/year)	2.5 OCT 2004	
	s or agent's file r	eference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below		
98006/26P Internation	PCT nal application No).	International filing date	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PCT/US04	•		26 April 2004 (26.04.20		24 April 2003 (24.04.2003)	
		cation (IPC)	or both national classifica		2	
	61B 5/00 and US	Cl.: 600/322	2, 323			
Applicant						
THE BOA	RD OF REGEN	IS OF THE	UNIVERSITY OF TEXA	<u>S</u>		
1. This c	pinion contains in	ndications rel	lating to the following iten	ns:		
\boxtimes	Box No. I	Basis of the	Basis of the opinion			
	Box No. II	Priority				
	Box No. III	Non-establi	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of un	Lack of unity of invention			
\boxtimes	Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain doc	Certain documents cited			
\boxtimes	Box No. VII	Certain def	fects in the international ap	pplication		
	Box No. VIII	Certain obs	servations on the internation	onal application		
2. FUR	THER ACTIO	N				
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For ft	urther options, see	e Form PCT/	ISA/220.			
3. For ft	urther details, see	notes to For	m PCT/ISA/220.	\setminus	\n)	
	mailing address of		IS	Anthorized office	7	
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents Eric F Winatur						
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450				Telephone No. 7	703/308-0858	
T::	NI. (702) 205 22	420				

P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

From the

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US04/12758

Box No. I Basis of this opinion	
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in whit was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	ich
This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	_ '
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	e
a. type of material	
a sequence listing	
table(s) related to the sequence listing	
b. format of material	
in written format	
in computer readable form	•
c. time of filing/furnishing	
contained in international application as filed.	
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	1 1
4. Additional comments:	
	i
	ļ

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/12758

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement YES Claims 10-16, 19, 23, 24, 33-39, 42 Novelty (N) NO Claims 1-9, 17, 18, 20-22, 25-32, 40, 41, 43, 44 YES Inventive step (IS) Claims 15, 16, 19, 23, 24, 38, 39, 42 NO Claims 1-14, 17, 18, 20-22, 25-37, 40, 41, 43, 44 YES Industrial applicability (IA) Claims 1-44 NO Claims NONE

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1 - 7, 17, 18, 20 - 22, 25-30/22, 33/22, 40/22, 41/22, 43/22, and 44/22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Hatschek. Hatschek teaches an optical measurement system (Figure 4; column 6 - 8) suitable for determination of oxygen saturation from the underside of a subject's tongue (column 11, lines 41 - 64). It is inherent that at least some of the measurement light would irradiate a big vein of the subject's tongue.

Claims 10 and 33/22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied in the immediately preceding paragraph and further in view of Nielsen. Hatschek teaches a system for determining oxygen saturation, but does not teach measurement of carboxyhemoglobin levels. Nielsen teaches that the addition of measurement of a third wavelength sensitive to carboxyhemoglobin can be incorporated into an oximetry sensor to determine the concentration of carboxyhemoglobin and provide more accurate measurement of the oxygen saturation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hatschek to include measurement of carboxyhemoglobin, as taught by Nielsen, since this provides more accurate medical information to a user.

Claims 11 - 14 and 34 - 37/22 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Hatschek, as applied to claims 1 and 22 above, in view of Hoeft. Hatschek teaches measurement of oxygen saturation and indicates that other parameters can be monitored (col 4, top). Hoeft teaches that measurement of indicator dyes, such as indocyanine green, is useful for measuring cardiac output. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hatschek to measure concentrations of indocyanine green, as taught by Hoeft, since this is useful for study of cardiac output values.

Claims 1 - 4, 8, 21, 22, 25-27/22, 31/22, and 44/22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Stavridi et al. Stavridi et al. teach an optical glucose measurement device that can perform measurements from the base of a subject's tongue (column 6, line 24). It is inherent that at least some of the measurement light would irradiate a big vein of the subject's tongue.

Claims 1 - 4, 9, 21, 22, 25-27/22, 32/22, and 44/22 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Caro. Caro teaches optical measurement of analytes, including cholesterol, (column 4, lines 52 - 61), from various body portions including the tongue (claim 14). It is inherent that at least some of the measurement light would irradiate a big vein of the tongue.

Claims 15, 16, 19, 23, 24, 38, 39, and 42 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a device or method including measurement of microwave or RF energy from a subject's underside of a tongue, generation of a static electrical or magnetic field during the measurement, or the particular structure of claim 23, as set forth in these claims.



NOTESTO FORM PCT/ISA/220

These Notes are intended to give the basic instructions concerning the filing of amendments under Article 19. The Notes are based on the requirements of the Patent Cooperation Treaty, the Regulations and the Administrative Instructions under that Treaty. In case of discrepancy between these Notes and those requirements, the latter are applicable. For more detailed information, see also the PCT Applicant's Guide, a publication of WIPO.

In these Notes, "Article," "Rule" and "Section" refer to the provisions of the PCT, the PCT Regulations and the PCT Administrative Instructions, respectively.

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING AMENDMENTS UNDER ARTICLE 19

The applicant has, after having received the international search report, one opportunity to amend the claims of the international application. It should however be emphasized that, since all parts of the international application (claims, description and drawings) may be amended during the international preliminary examination procedure, there is usually no need to file amendments of the claims under Article 19 except where, e.g. the applicant wants the latter to be published for the purposes of provisional protection or has another reason for amending the claims before international publication. Furthermore, it should be emphasized that provisional protection is available in some States only.

What parts of the international application may be amended?

Under Article 19, only the claims may be amended.

During the international phase, the claims may also be amended (or further amended) under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority. The description and drawings may only be amended under Article 34 before the International Preliminary Examining Authority.

Upon entry into the national phase, all parts of the international application may be amended under Article 28 or, where applicable, Article 41.

When? Within 2 months from the date of transmittal of the international search report or 16 months from the priority date, whichever time limit expires later. It should be noted, however, that the amendments will be considered as having been received on time if they are received by the International Bureau after the expiration of the applicable time limit but before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication (Rule 46.1).

Where not to file the amendments?

The amendments may only be filed with the International Bureau and not with the receiving Office or the International Searching Authority (Rule 46.2).

Where a demand for international preliminary examination has been/is filed, see below.

How? Either by cancelling one or more entire claims, by adding one or more new claims or by amending the text of one or more of the claims as filed.

A replacement sheet must be submitted for each sheet of the claims which, on account of an amendment or amendments, differs from the sheet originally filed.

All the claims appearing on a replacement sheet must be numbered in Arabic numerals. Where a claim is cancelled, no renumbering of the other claims is required. In all cases where claims are renumbered, they must be renumbered consecutively (Administrative Instructions, Section 205(b)).

The amendments must be made in the language in which the international application is to be published.

What documents must/may accompany the amendments?

Letter (Section 205(b)):

The amendments must be submitted with a letter.

The letter will not be published with the international application and the amended claims. It should not be confused with the "Statement under Article 19(1)" (see below, under "Statement under Article 19(1)").

The letter must be in English or French, at the choice of the applicant. However, if the language of the international application is English, the letter must be in English; if the language of the international application is French, the letter must be in French.

NOTES TO FORM PCT/ISA/220 (continued)

The letter must indicate the differences between the claims as filed and the claims as amended. It must, in particular, indicate, in connection with each claim appearing in the international application (it being understood that identical indications concerning several claims may be grouped), whether

- (i) the claim is unchanged;
- (ii) the claim is cancelled;
- (iii) the claim is new;
- (iv) the claim replaces one or more claims as filed;
- (v) the claim is the result of the division of a claim as filed.

The following examples illustrate the manner in which amendments must be explained in the accompanying letter:

- [Where originally there were 48 claims and after amendment of some claims there are 51]:
 "Claims 1 to 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37 to 48 replaced by amended claims bearing the same numbers; claims 30, 33 and 36 unchanged; new claims 49 to 51 added."
- 2. [Where originally there were 15 claims and after amendment of all claims there are 11]: "Claims 1 to 15 replaced by amended claims 1 to 11."
- 3. [Where originally there were 14 claims and the amendments consist in cancelling some claims and in adding new claims]: "Claims 1 to 6 and 14 unchanged; claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added." or "Claims 7 to 13 cancelled; new claims 15, 16 and 17 added; all other claims unchanged."
- 4. [Where various kinds of amendments are made]: "Claims 1-10 unchanged; claims 11 to 13, 18 and 19 cancelled; claims 14, 15 and 16 replaced by amended claim 14; claim 17 subdivided into amended claims 15, 16 and 17; new claims 20 and 21 added."

"Statement under Article 19(1)" (Rule 46.4)

The amendments may be accompanied by a statement explaining the amendments and indicating any impact that such amendments might have on the description and the drawings (which cannot be amended under Article 19(1)).

The statement will be published with the international application and the amended claims.

It must be in the language in which the international application is to be published.

It must be brief, not exceeding 500 words if in English or if translated into English.

It should not be confused with and does not replace the letter indicating the differences between the claims as filed and as amended. It must be filed on a separate sheet and must be identified as such by a heading, preferably by using the words "Statement under Article 19(1)."

It may not contain any disparaging comments on the international search report or the relevance of citations contained in that report. Reference to citations, relevant to a given claim, contained in the international search report may be made only in connection with an amendment of that claim.

Consequence if a demand for international preliminary examination has already been filed

If, at the time of filing any amendments and any accompanying statement, under Article 19, a demand for international preliminary examination has already been submitted, the applicant must preferably, at the time of filing the amendments (and any statement) with the International Bureau, also file with the International Preliminary Examining Authority a copy of such amendments (and of any statement) and, where required, a translation of such amendments for the procedure before that Authority (see Rules 55.3(a) and 62.2, first sentence). For further information, see the Notes to the demand form (PCT/IPEA/401).

Consequence with regard to translation of the international application for entry into the national phase

The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that, upon entry into the national phase, a translation of the claims as amended under Article 19 may have to be furnished to the designated/elected Offices, instead of, or in addition to, the translation of the claims as filed.

For further details on the requirements of each designated/elected Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II.